

## CONCRETE MASONRY ARCHES

## TEK 14-14

Structural (1994)

**Keywords:** arch analysis, arches, construction techniques

### INTRODUCTION

The masonry arch, one of man's oldest architectural forms, is defined as a rigid span curving upward between two points of support. The arch appears in a wide variety of structures ranging from the purely decorative triumphal arch to the masonry arch bridge where it sustains great loads.

The round arch, Figure 1, was used by the early Chinese in all types of buildings. In ancient Egypt, this arch and others were used in nonceremonial structures such as engineering works and private dwellings. The Babylonians, on the other hand, used their arches in temples, palaces, and tombs. The Romans used the arch freely in their secular structures, as in the Colosseum, and in their engineering works like the aqueduct, but in their temples they followed the Greek style with the horizontal entablature.

Many forms of the arch have been developed during the centuries of its use, ranging from the flat or jack arch through the segmental, circular, parabolic to the pointed Gothic. Used freely in the great cathedrals of Europe, the Gothic or pointed arch had a structural use more important than the ornamental effect, as it minimized the outward thrust, making possible the firmness and stability combined with the lofty and spacious interior characteristic of the Gothic cathedral.

Two distinct types of arches have been recognized based on span, rise, and loading. The more common concrete masonry arch is the minor arch where maximum span is limited to about 6 feet (1.8 m) with a rise-to-span ratio not exceeding 0.15, and carrying loads up to 1500 lb per foot of span (21,891 N/m). The second type of arch is the major arch where span, rise, and loading may exceed those of the minor. Illustrations of both types of arches are shown in Figure 1. However, the design section of this TEK discusses only minor arches.

### ANALYSIS

Fixed masonry arches are statically indeterminate to the third degree, that is, they have three

reaction components or force paths that could be eliminated without adversely effecting their stability. This redundancy is a hidden asset of masonry; the tendency for "arching action" provides a masonry wall with resistance to progressive type failure. When a hole is caused suddenly in a masonry wall, an arch is created over the opening and the wall continues to carry load rather than fall down.

This redundancy of the masonry arch is, however, a nuisance when one considers design. Because the masonry arch is statically indeterminate, arches in building walls are generally designed or analyzed by approximate methods; the degree of exactness of the design procedure depends upon the size (span & rise) of the arch. Minor arches with spans of up to 6 feet (1.8 m) and rise-to-span ratios not exceeding 0.15 may be satisfactorily designed by the hypothesis of least crown thrust first proposed by Mosely in 1837. Major arches may be designed by considering them as essentially thick curved elastic beams. Many methods of elastic analysis have been developed; however, in most instances the application






Figure 1—Masonry Arch Forms

is complicated and time consuming. And, it is still an approximate analysis since the equations are developed assuming that deformations within the arch are small enough that the stresses are not affected if these deformations are ignored. This is not true of long span bridges where secondary stresses are significant and are taken into account. In masonry arches for building walls they can be ignored safely.

Figure 2 shows the forces and reactions within and upon a minor concrete masonry arch. The external load may consist of a uniform load,  $w$ , as shown, a concentrated load, or other. A horizontal thrust,  $H$ , is assumed to act at the crown, and its point of application is assumed to be at the upper middle-third limit (upper edge of kern) of the arch section. At the skewback (left-hand reaction), a reaction,  $F$ , is assumed to act at the lower middle-third limit (lower edge of kern) of the section. These assumptions for the design of minor arches, that the equilibrium polygon lies entirely within the middle third of the arch section, preclude the rotation of one section of the arch about the edge of a joint or the development of tensile stresses in either the intrados or extrados. The assumptions appear reasonable for symmetrical arches loaded equally and symmetrically, but may not be tenable for unsymmetrical arches or nonuniform loading. A vertical shear,  $V_o$ , is shown also at the crown of the arch. This shear will equal zero when both halves of the arch are loaded equally, i.e., the general case.



**Figure 2—Assumed Conditions for Static Analysis of Small Concrete Masonry Arch**



**Figure 3—Relationship of Vertical Load,  $W$ , and Horizontal Thrust,  $H$ , in Small Concrete Masonry Arches**

There are four items to consider regarding structural failure of minor unreinforced concrete masonry arches:

1. failure due to tensile stresses (already eliminated by the assumption that the force polygon remains within the section kern)
2. crushing of the masonry due to compression by the horizontal thrust,  $H$
3. shear sliding failure of one section of the arch along another, or along the skewback
4. the ability of supporting adjacent masonry wall or abutment to safely resist the horizontal thrust,  $H$ , of the arch.

Consider first the crushing of the masonry due to horizontal thrust. For minor arches (segmental or jack arches) the relationship between vertical loading or vertical reaction,  $V_1$  or  $W$ , and horizontal thrust,  $H$ , depends on the rise-to-span ratio,  $r/S$ , of the arch, and on the span/depth ratio,  $S/d$ . This relationship is shown in Figure 3. Knowing  $r/S$  and  $S/d$  of an arch, read the value  $W/2H$  at the left-hand side of the graph. (Note: flat or jack arches are represented as  $r/S = 0$ ).

Once the horizontal thrust has been determined, the maximum compressive stress in the masonry is determined by the following formula:

$$f = \text{compressive stress, psi (MPa)}$$

$$b = \text{breadth of arch, in. (mm)}$$

$$d = \text{depth of arch, in. (mm)}$$

This value is twice an axial compressive stress on the arch due to a load  $H$  because the horizontal thrust is located at the edge of the kern.

Shear stress, or sliding of one section of the arch on another or on the skewback, requires consideration of the angular relationship of the reaction and the mortar joint, Figure 4. Stresses acting on the joint will depend on the angle formed between the reaction,  $F$ , and the inclined joint. This angle is:

$$\beta = \phi - \gamma$$


$\phi$  = angle between reaction,  $F$ , and the horizontal.  
 $\gamma$  = angle of mortar joint with vertical

For segmental arches with radial joints, the angle between the skewback and the vertical is:

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \frac{4rS}{S^2 - 4r^2} \quad \begin{matrix} S = \text{span} \\ r = \text{rise} \end{matrix}$$

or in terms of radius of curvature,  $R$ :

$$\gamma = \sin^{-1} \frac{S}{2R}$$



**Figure 4—Angular Relationship Between Forces and Stresses in Radial Joint of Segmental and/or Jack Arches**

For jack or flat arches in which the skewback equals 1/2 inch per foot of span (83 mm/m) for each 4 inches (102 mm) of arch depth, the angle that the skewback makes with the vertical is:

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \frac{S}{8} \quad S = \text{span}$$

In these ratios all terms of length must be expressed in the same units; for example, in computing  $S/r$ ,  $S/d$ , and  $S/R$ , if  $S$  is in feet (m),  $r$ ,  $d$ , and  $R$  must be in feet (m) also.

Shear force,  $Q$ , along the mortar joint is then equal to:

$$Q = F \sin B, \text{ and shear stress, } v:$$

$$v = \frac{Q}{bd} \quad \begin{matrix} b = \text{breadth, in. (mm)} \\ d = \text{depth, in. (mm)} \end{matrix}$$

Finally, a check should be made to make certain the supporting adjacent masonry wall has sufficient shear strength and resistance to overturning against the horizontal thrust,  $H$ , of the concrete masonry arch. Figure 5 illustrates how shear resistance may be calculated. It is assumed that the horizontal thrust of the arch attempts to move a volume of masonry enclosed by the boundary lines ABCD and CDEF. The thrust,  $H$ , is acting against two shear planes of resistance, CF and DE. Shear stress along either plane can then be calculated as:

$$v_m = \frac{H}{2A_n} \quad A_n = \text{net mortar bedded area}$$

The tendency for the arch thrust,  $H$ , to overturn the supporting masonry wall must be checked, especially when the arch is near the wall top. No tension due to overturning moment should be permitted in the supporting wall section.

Applicable equations are:

$$M = H \times h \quad f = \frac{P}{A_n} \pm \frac{Mc}{I}$$


- $M$  = overturning moment due to thrust  $H$
- $h$  = wall height
- $f$  = stress at bottom of wall
- $P$  = vertical load on wall
- $A_n$  = net area of wall
- $I$  = moment of inertia of wall based on length and equivalent solid thickness
- $c$  = distance from neutral axis, 1/2 wall length

## CONSTRUCTION

Since any section of an arch may be subjected to shear, moment, and thrust, it is important that arches be constructed with high quality concrete masonry units, mortar, and good workmanship. For this reason, the use of mortar conforming to ASTM C 270 (ref. 5), Type M, S, or N is recommended. Bond is an important factor in building arches with sufficient shear resistance to withstand the imposed loads. To obtain good bond, all mortar joints in the arch need to be completely filled. This is sometimes very difficult to do, especially where the concrete masonry units are laid in soldier bond or rowlock header bond. It is also hard to do where the curvature of the arch is of short radius, and mortar joints of varying thickness are used. But completely filled joints are paramount to a strong arch, and can be achieved with quality workmanship.

Concrete masonry units for arch construction should be either 100 percent solid units, or filled units, or filled cell construction. Applicable ASTM Specifications are: Concrete Building Brick, ASTM C 55 (ref. 3); Calcium Silicate Face Brick, ASTM C 73 (Sand-Lime Brick) (ref. 2); Load-Bearing Concrete Masonry Units, ASTM C 90 (ref. 4).

Concrete masonry arches are constructed with the aid of a form or temporary support. After construction, the form is



**Figure 5—Supporting Adjacent Masonry Must Resist the Horizontal Thrust of the Arch**

kept in place until the arch is strong enough to carry the loads to which it will be subjected. For unreinforced concrete masonry arches, the form should remain in place about one week after construction.

Finally, the wall supporting the concrete masonry arch must be considered. With a masonry arch, three conditions relating to the supporting wall must be maintained in order to ensure arch action: the length of the span must remain constant; the elevation of the arch ends must remain unchanged; and the inclination of the skewback must remain fixed. If any of these conditions are violated by sliding, settlement, or rotation of the supporting abutments, critical stresses for which the arch was not designed may result.

### DESIGN EXAMPLE—SEGMENTAL ARCH

A segmental arch is to be supported on an unreinforced 8-inch (203 mm) hollow loadbearing wall. One end of the arch will be 24 inches (610 mm) from the end of the wall.

Other given data are:

- Span,  $S = 72$  in. (1829 mm)
- Depth,  $d = 12$  in. (305 mm)
- Breadth,  $b = 8$  in. (203 mm)
- Rise,  $r = 6$  in. (152 mm)
- Uniform load = 1000 lb/ft (14.6 kN/m)
- $f'_m = 2000$  psi (13.8 MPa)
- $v_m = 34$  psi (0.23 MPa), Type S mortar

#### ANALYSIS:

$$r/S = 6/72 = 0.083$$

$$S/d = 72/12 = 6$$

$$W = 6 \times 1000 \text{ lb/ft} = 6000 \text{ lb}$$

From Figure 3,  $W/2H = 0.53$

$$H = \frac{6000}{2(0.53)} = 5660 \text{ lb}$$

$$f = \frac{2(5660)}{8(12)} = 118 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_m = 0.2(2000) = 400 \text{ psi}$$

$$> 118 \text{ psi OK}$$

$$\tan \gamma = \frac{(4)(6)(72)}{(72)^2 - (4)(36)} = 0.3429$$

$$\gamma = 18^\circ - 50'$$

$$\beta = (28^\circ - 00') - (18^\circ - 50') = 9^\circ - 10'$$

$$\sin \beta = 0.1593$$

$$F = \sqrt{(6000)^2 + (5660)^2} = 8250 \text{ lb}$$

$$Q = F \sin \beta = (8250)(0.1593) = 1315 \text{ lb}$$

$$v = \frac{1315}{(8)(12)} = 13.7 \text{ psi}$$

$$< 34 \text{ psi OK}$$

Check thrust against wall:

$$\text{Wall length} = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$\text{Mortar bed} = 2 \times 1.25 = 2.5 \text{ in.}$$

$$A_n = 2.5 \times 24 = 60 \text{ in}^2$$

$$v = \frac{5660}{2(60)} = 47 \text{ psi}$$

$$> 34 \text{ psi NG}$$

SUMMARY: The arch is sufficient to carry the loads, but the supporting wall will require reinforcement to increase its shear capacity.

#### REFERENCES

1. Leontorich, V. *Frames and Arches*. McGraw-Hill, 1959.
2. *Standard Specification for Calcium Silicate Face Brick (Sand-Lime Brick)*, ASTM C 73-94. American Society for Testing and Materials, 1994.
3. *Standard Specification for Concrete Building Brick*, ASTM C 55-94. American Society for Testing and Materials, 1994.
4. *Standard Specification for Load-Bearing Concrete Masonry Units*, ASTM C 90-94. American Society for Testing and Materials, 1994.
5. *Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry*, ASTM C 270-92a. American Society for Testing and Materials, 1992.

Provided by:

